

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ddr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRS			FIRST NAMED INV	T NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/894,156 08/	/15/97 BRUC	CHMANN		В	524	-2769-0	_	
<del></del>	IM52/0614			:			EXAMINER	
	1CCLELLAND			SERGEN	T,R			
AIER & NEUSTAD RYSTAL SQUARE F		מחח ו				ART UNIT	-)(-)	PAPER NUMBER
755 JEFFERSON I	DAVIS HIGHWAY		,	1711				15
RLINGTON VA 22202				06.	ATE MAILE	):		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

# **Advisory Action**

## Application No. 08/894,156

Examiner

Rabon Sergent

Group Art Unit 1711

Bruchmann et al.



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]						
a) X expires <u>four</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.						
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.						
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).						
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jun 1, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:						
☐ The proposed amendment(s):						
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.						
will not be entered because:						
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).						
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).						
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.						
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.						
X The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition						
for allowance because: The positions set forth within the final Office action of February 2, 1999 and the advisory action of March 23, 1999						
have been maintained. The declaration of June 1, 1999 has been considered; however, the declaration (See 'Other')						
☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by						
the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):						
Claims allowed: O						
Claims objected to: 0						
Claims rejected: 1-9						
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)						
Other is not commensurate in scope with the claims. The claims do not exclude or limit the presence of allophanate groups.  RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER						